

NWERC 2012 Problem Set

Discussion & Solutions

2012.nwerc.eu







B – Beer Pressure

- Random walk over *n*-dimensional lattice.
- Iterate over all possible end states after voting.
- Find corresponding probabilities: (Dynamic Programming or Memoization)
- Accumulate probabilities for all the pubs
- If w is the number of non-dominate students, this algorithm is $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{w^n}{n!}\right)$.
- Smarter algorithms exist (e.g., closed form of probability of end states) but dynamic programming is sufficient.









- Only brake/wait immediately after a traffic light; otherwise max acceleration.
- For each traffic light, for each time it changes color, find the highest velocity that you can have there.
- Worst case: (10 lights \times 580 changes) ² = 33640000







E – Edge Case



- Count the number of sets of edges not containing any pair of adjacent edges.
- First, solve the problem for a linear graph of *n* nodes

$$F_{2}=2 \quad (\emptyset, \{(1 \ 2)\})$$

$$F_{3}=3 \quad (\emptyset, \{(1 \ 2)\}, \{(2 \ 3)\})$$

$$F_{4}=F_{4} \quad (+ F_{4} \quad 2)$$

Now extend this to a circular graph of *n* nodes

- Either do not use edge (n,1): F_n sets
- Or do use edge (n,1): another F_{n-2} sets

$$L_n = F_n + F_{n-2} = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}$$

• $L_n = Lucas numbers$



F – Foul Play

Problem

- Given $n = 2^k$ teams, and a team 1 such that
 - for every team t that 1 cannot beat, 1 can beat a team t' that can beat t, and
 - 1 can beat at least half of the teams.
- Give a tournament where 1 wins.

Solution

- For every round (k in total) of the tournament:
 - Match as many teams t that beat 1 to teams t' that can beat them, i.e., t
 - **2** Let 1 play against a team from which it can win.
 - 3 Let any remaining teams play against each other.
 - 4 Remove the losers.



G - Guards (1/2)

Problem

- Given graph, place minimum number of guards k at vertices (halls) to monitor edges (corridors).
- Instance of the NP-complete (minimum) vertex cover problem.

Solution for general graphs

- Search tree: branch on edges (u, v): select (and remove) u or v: O (2^k · (n + m)).
- However k may be close to $n \leq 10000$.



G - Guards (2/2)

Use recursive structure: $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{10}\cdot(n+m)\right)$

- Compute recursively two solutions for each peripheral building: with and without a guard in the hall leading to it.
- Use search tree to compute optimal solution for main building using these peripheral solutions.





H – Hip to be Square (1/2)

- Given an interval, find the subset with the least square product. Terrible complexity: [|S| ≤ 4899, 2⁴⁸⁹⁹ subsets]
- If the interval S contains a square, return the least square. [$|S| \le 138, 2^{138}$ subsets, but size ≤ 12]
- Reduce: Split each number in a square and primes: $n = a^2 * p_1 * p_2...$ Remove numbers containing a unique prime. Cascading. If a prime occurs twice: combine. $||S| \le 30, 2^{30}$ subsets, $\binom{30}{12} \cong 10^7$].



H – Hip to be Square (2/2)

- Make a priority queue Q, of subsets (products) ordered by value (and by size!). Invariant: If s is a square product of S, then Q contains a divisor d of s.
- Put the elements of S as singletons in Q.
- While m = Q.dequeue is not a square: choose a prime p that divides m. multiply m with each n in S such that: p divides n with odd exponent n is not a member of the product m. (keep track of the factors of the elements of Q.) add all these products to Q. This keeps the invariant.
 If m is a square, it is the least square product.



I – Idol

■ 2SAT:

- variable x_i: contestant i advances
- judges are clauses:
- "-1 4" corresponds to $\neg x_1 \lor x_4$.
- Choosing $x_1 = 1$ (in ex.), forces $x_4 = 1$.
- Propagation leads to:
 - contradiction, or
 - finding an independently satisfiable subset of clauses:
 - \blacksquare $l_1 \lor l_2$: untouched

•
$$(\mathit{I}_1=1) \lor \mathit{I}_2$$
: satisfied

•
$$l_1 \lor (l_2 = 1)$$
: satisfied

• $(l_1 = 0) \lor l_2$: can propagate

•
$$l_1 ee (l_2=0)$$
: can propagate

• Very limited backtracking: $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot m)$.



J – Joint Venture

- Naive $\Theta(n^2)$ algorithm is too slow.
- First, sort Lego pieces.
- Then, either
 - keep two indices at resp. end of sorted array. Update indices depending on if their sum is too large or too small when compared to x.
 - or, for each Lego piece, binary search for the difference between x and length of current piece.
- Gives O(n log n) behaviour.



K – Key Insight

- Find out to which positions a letter may have moved.
- Which of n^2 transpositions is consistent with all blocks.
- Take factorial per letter.
- Careful consistency checking, e.g.
 - Watch out for missing letters.
 - Take into account all blocks.
- Accepted solutions: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^3\right)$

